# **Syllabus**

### CRCRTH 693: Action Research for Educational, Professional, and Personal Change

Spring 2015, hybrid section (Online Class # 6786, Face-to-face class #7221); February 4 – May 6 Wednesdays, 7:00-9:45pm ET, Wheatley Hall, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Room W02-157

Instructor: Jeremy Szteiter

email: Jeremy.Szteiter@umb.edu

Phone: (617) 942-3580, or Skype: jeremyszteiter Office: Wheatley Hall 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, room W02-157

Office/phone call hours: contact the instructor for an appointment

### **Course Format**

Hybrid course with weekly meetings on campus, online students joining via web conference using Google+ Hangout.

### **Catalog Description**

This course covers techniques for and critical thinking about the evaluation of changes in educational practices and policies in schools, organizations, and informal contexts. Topics include quantitative and qualitative methods for design and analysis, participatory design of practices and policies in a framework of action research, institutional learning, the wider reception or discounting of evaluations, and selected case studies, including those arising from semester-long student projects.

# **Notes about Preparation Assumed for this Course**

This course has no formal prerequisites. Through your previous courses, you should have developed the disposition of experimenting with new tools, even if not everyone became part of your toolkit as a learner, teacher/facilitator of others, and/or reflective practitioner. Through courses and other personal and professional experience you should have an interest in one or more Educational, Professional, or Personal issues that you might delve into with a view to promoting some needed change. Most importantly, you should be prepared to address the question: "If you have good ideas [about changes to make] how do you get others to adopt and/or adapt them?" (For CCT students, this course is best taken after Processes of Research and Engagement, but this sequence is not mandatory.)

# **Course Focus on Cycles and Epicycles of Action Research**

The question above, "If you have good ideas [about changes to make] how do you get others to adopt and/or adapt them?", captures the central motivation for the course. This question can also be expressed as: "How do you build a constituency around your idea? This concern can lead you into evaluating how good the ideas actually are (with respect to some defined objectives) so you can demonstrate this to others. It can also lead you to work with others to develop the idea so it becomes theirs as well and thus something they're invested in. Action Research, in the "Cycles & Epicycles" framework taught in this course, involves group facilitation, participatory planning, and reflective practice, as well as systematic evaluation. Students from a variety of

programs should find this course a suitable vehicle to enhance your interests in educational, professional, or personal change.

### **Key Links**

#### Course wiki:

http://crcrth693-szteiter.wikispaces.umb.edu

**Google+ Community** (you'll need to accept the email invitation to join): <a href="https://plus.google.com/u/0/communities/115578114828215192704">https://plus.google.com/u/0/communities/115578114828215192704</a> (then click on Events on the left to see links for the Google+ Hangout sessions).

Academic Calendar (for online courses offered through Critical and Creative Thinking/College of Advancing and Professional Studies; please note registration deadlines): http://www.umb.edu/academics/caps/credit/spring/calendar

### **Learning Objectives**

By the end of the semester, you will have:

- experienced, learned, and practiced a set of tools and processes to promote participation and reflective practice (including your own participation);
- learned to formulate informative comparisons as a basis for evaluations;
- examined critically the evaluations of others (or the lack of the appropriate evaluations), including the influences of political context on evaluation and research;
- considered the ideal of participatory action research in relation to politics generated from below; and
- undertaken a project in an area of your particular concern in which you design (and, optionally, carry
  out) an Action Research process using the Cycles and Epicycles framework (and addressing the items
  listed below under Requirements).

### **Texts and Materials**

### Required:

Several required readings for the course will be provided. In addition, please gain access to the following:

- Schmuck, R. (2006). **Practical Action Research for Change**. Arlington Heights, IL: Skylight. (printed paperback copies usually available from online sellers, used or new). ISBN-13: 978-1412938594
- Taylor, P., J. Szteiter (2012) Taking Yourself Seriously: Processes of Research and Engagement.
   Arlington: The Pumping Station (as a printed paperback or ebook PDF from <a href="http://thepumpingstation.org/books/">http://thepumpingstation.org/books/</a> or as paperback from other online booksellers). ISBN-13: 978-0984921607
- A good style/writing manual for formal paper writing, such as the following (or equivalents): Hacker, D. (2000) A Pocket Style Manual. Boston: Bedford/St. Martins; Turabian, K. L. (1996). A Manual For Writers of Term Papers, Theses, and Dissertations. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; others may be fine as well, including the current edition of Chicago Manual of Style, MLA, or APA reference guide, or others (for course writing assignments, no single particular standard is required or favored for the class

as a whole, but rather, each individual should choose which to use and then be consistent with it over the semester). Free online style resources may be acceptable as well if they are published by high-quality sources such as those mentioned above. One such site is the Purdue Online Writing Lab: https://owl.english.purdue.edu/

- Access to the UMass Boston online library resources. Students who would typically access library
  resources at another university may continue to use those but should still obtain a UMass Boston
  library barcode, since some of our course materials may require access anyway. All students, including
  online students, may obtain a library barcode, which allows access to a number of services:
  <a href="http://www.umb.edu/library/account/barcode">http://www.umb.edu/library/account/barcode</a>
- For online students, a computer setup with a webcam, headset/microphone are required for our synchronous class meetings. For these meetings, please use a wired Internet connection; you should arrange to connect the computer to your Internet router directly through a cable, rather than rely on a wireless connection. This improves the quality of voice clarity.
- For online students, a Gmail account (<a href="http://mail.google.com">http://mail.google.com</a>) to connect to Google+ Hangout for our live online meetings. See the following pages for more information:
   <a href="http://www.google.com/+/learnmore/hangouts/">http://www.google.com/+/learnmore/hangouts/</a>) and to download the browser plugin (<a href="https://www.google.com/tools/dlpage/hangoutplugin">https://www.google.com/tools/dlpage/hangoutplugin</a>) needed to use this resource.

### Optional (will not be formally used in the course by may support specific interests):

- Calhoun, E. F. (1994). How to Use Action Research in the Self-Renewing School. Alexandria, VA: ASCD. (may be of interest for those applying action research to school-wide change)
- Schuman, S., Ed. (2006). Creating a Culture of Collaboration: The International Association of Facilitators Handbook. San Francisco, Jossey-Bass. (may be of interest to those working on facilitation of groups)
- As guides to writing: Daniel, D., C. Fauske, P. Galeno, and D. Mael. (2001). Take Charge of Your Writing:
  Discovering Writing Through Self-Assessment. Boston: Houghton Mifflin; we won't formally use this,
  but it may be of general interest
- Bibliographic software for references (e.g., Endnote, or RefWorks); important for keeping track of your references, and makes it useful for creating nicely-formatted bibliographies very quickly. You need not buy software; the UMass Boston library web site provides web-based access to several Citation Tools that are available free to students: <a href="http://www.umb.edu/library/help/">http://www.umb.edu/library/help/</a>

### You also need:

• Professional Development (PD) Workbook: a workbook/journal/system to use for notes, reflections, and ideas - paper or electronic form, to be discussed in more detail during the first class meeting - you will develop the format of this workbook throughout the semester to meet your own needs.

### **Course Communication**

We will only use @umb.edu email addresses in the course. New messages originated by the instructor will only be sent to your umb.edu email address. If you prefer to use a personal email address, you may do so but must either continue to check and respond to messages in your umb.edu email account regularly, or set up your umb.edu account to automatically forward all messages to your personal email address.

### **Assessment and Requirements**

#### WRITTEN ASSIGNMENTS AND PRESENTATIONS

In this course, you will define your own focus for the research project that you will carry through, over the semester. The project will culminate in the design/writing of a report (1700-2500 words) on Action Research Process related to an action or intervention in a specific classroom, workplace or personal teaching/learning practice, an educational policy, an educational institution, or a social policy. Your design should include all the aspects of the Action Research Cycles and Epicycles, including:

- how you will learn from evaluations of past changes or interventions like yours,
- how you would facilitate the reflective and/or collaborative process in which a constituency comes to join with you in shaping a change or intervention (or at least supporting your efforts), and
- how you would evaluate the outcome with a view to expanding further the constituency for adopting/adapting the change or intervention.

Carrying out the design is applauded, but not required. If you carry out the design (or some of it), you should report on what you have actually done and how you would proceed differently if you were to do it over/continue with what you have started. It is important that you do not let implementing your action/intervention eclipse attention to designing the other aspects of the Action Research. The project is developed through a sequence of assignments:

- W1. Reflection on introductory action research modeled in early meetings,
- **W2**. Initial description of project (based on strategic personal planning); required.
- W3. KAQF,
- W4. Evaluation clock,
- **W5**. Initial work-in-progress presentation with notes on research and planning; required.
- **W6**. Updated, full-length work-in-progress presentation (taking into account comments on initial version and notes); *required*.
- W7. Narrative outline
- W8. Complete draft report, and revised. final report (1700-2500 words); required.

#### **DIALOGUE AROUND WRITTEN WORK**

One of the processes used in the course involves Dialogue Around Written Work (see Taylor and Szteiter, pp 68-69). Initial drafts of Written Assignments should be submitted by the due date, and then will be returned with feedback, marked as either OK/RNR (=OK/ Reflection-revision-resubmission Not Requested) or with Revisions Requested (perhaps multiple times). Those with needed revisions should be resubmitted after considering the comments of the instructor and/or peers. The request for revisions may be made if some aspect of the assignment has either not been addressed, but more likely, such requests usually ask you to continue to develop your thinking around your project, deepening your understanding and giving your time to consider alternative approaches and perspectives.

This means that a draft of an assignment may actually fulfill the stated requirements and be "fine" in every respect but *still leads to a request for revision*. This request need not be interpreted as suggesting that some deficiency is present in your work, but as an opportunity to draw out additional insight as much as possible within the nature of your specific project. This process is what we mean by "dialogue around written work". The combination of the various drafts of a written assignment, and written and verbal feedback from

instructors/peers amount to a type of dialogue between writer and readers; the OK/RNR designation means that the dialogue has come to a rest around a particular assignment and that it is appropriate to shift your attention elsewhere. Request for Revision means that the dialogue continues, but it also means making thoughtful choices about how to address feedback. Instructor and peer comments should not be regarded as simply a checklist of things to change; instead, ask yourself, "Based on the feedback that I've received, what do I know now about the way that readers are understanding what I'm doing? How does this help me to clarify my ideas even further (that is, how can I develop my own thinking process around my work)? And then what might I do to revise so that I'm most effectively articulating my current thinking and direction?"

Initial drafts of each written assignment have a specified due date. Subsequent revisions do not have a formal due date, but you should aim to submit revisions within one week after receiving feedback to keep on a good pace. If initial drafts are turned in on time, feedback will usually be returned by the instructor within one week. Consequences are that the process works well if written assignments are turned in on time, even if not complete, and if revisions are resubmitted in a timely way (so that both the dialogue around written work can continue, and also so that leftover revisions are not building up too much toward the later part of the semester). This may different from your past course experiences where there was motivation to wait to submit work until it was "just right". Here, the alternative is suggested – that it is helpful to submit work especially when it is incomplete and/or directions are not clear. This also means planning your time to anticipate that you'll attend to some assignments multiple times.

### PARTICIPATION ITEMS AND CONTRIBUTIONS TO COURSE PROCESS:

- **P1**. Building learning community through prepared participation and attendance at class meetings and in related activities (=13 items)
- P2. "Syllabus quiz" submitted by meeting 2 (=1 item)
- **P3**. Weekly buddy partner check-ins (as documented in your PD Workbook; see P5, below) (=6 items for 12 check-ins).
- P4. Written summaries of readings for certain meetings (=4 items)
- **P5**. Personal/Professional Development (PD) Workbook compiled throughout the semester (=8 items total), including:
  - Whole PD workbook submitted for review at mid-semester (=4 items [1 item for week 1-6 entries on reflections and possible application of tools to your project, 1 item for week 1-6 entries on buddy partner check-ins, 1 item for PD workbook worksheet on research organization, 1 item for an annotated clipping])
  - Whole PD workbook submitted for review at the end of the course (=4 items [1 item for week 7-13 entries on possible application of tools to your project, 1 item for week 7-13 entries on buddy partner check-ins, 1 item for course reflective process review/self-assessment, 1 item for an annotated clipping])

For CCT students, Participation Item P5 (or selections from the various parts) is suitable for inclusion in the program's required Reflective Practitioner's Portfolio because in it you identify the tools, practices, and perspectives from the course that you intend to bring into your specific professional or personal endeavors.

- **P6**. Minimum of two instructor conferences (in person, by phone, or Skype) on your course progress -- one before meeting 5; the other before meeting 10 (=2 items)
- **P7**. Peer commentary on your buddy partner's work, once during each 4-week period and one more on another student's draft report (=4 items)

• **OPTIONAL P8**. Permission to share certain completed assignments as archived examples for future students in the course (=2 items maximum) (assignments must be approved by instructor and reach OK/RNR level).

#### **GRADING**

### Students should aim for

- All 8 written assignments (and presentations) initially submitted by the due date
- 7 written assignments that eventually reach the OK/RNR level (out of the total 8 possible). As noted above, there are 4 written assignments that must be part of the 7 (the initial description of the project, both work-in-progress presentations, and the final report).
- 30 participation items (out of a total 38 possible) fulfilled.
- If you reach or exceed this amount, you get 80 points (which gives you an automatic B+) and the following rubric is used to add further points:

Quality Statement			1	2
1.	A sequence of assignments submitted and paced more or less as in syllabus, with timely revisions (most revisions resubmitted <= 1 week			
	after you get instructor feedback)			
2.	Revisions are often thorough and clearly show new thinking in response to comments and interactions.			
3.	Project innovative, such that you are making new connections beyond reporting on ground covered by others			
4.	Project is well-planned and carried out with considerable initiative			
5.	Active, prepared participation and support of building the class as a learning community, including contributions to discussion that demonstrate new insights from the readings and your own reflections, and including consistent buddy-group interactions			
6.	Conscientious peer commentary on other student's assignments; comments that question and stimulate thinking beyond superficial praise or suggestions			
7.	Consistent work and development of your research organization outside class meetings, as evidenced in your PD Workbook			
8.	Reflective Process Review/self-assessment in relation to course goals that shows deep reflection on your development through the semester and			
9.	Project Final Report clear and well structured, and includes supporting references, such that you explain how readings/academic literature, course tools and processes, personal experience, and/or engagement with activities has influenced your thinking toward your research			
10	Project Final Report is professionally presented and organized, with appropriate and consistent formatting, few or no mechanical errors			
11	Project Final Report maps out the future directions in which you plan to develop or extend your work and are prepared to move from design to implementation.			

- 12. Contributing to the group's collective understanding of being successful in a research course, through experimenting with tools, raising issues throughout the course, and providing feedback and guidance to peers in the online environment.
- 0 = "not my strength in this particular course"
- 1 = "close to or reached the minimum requirement, but room for improvement"
- 2 = "accomplished well, have clearly gone beyond the minimum expectation"

If you don't fulfill enough of each of the requirements listed above to reach the automatic B+ level, your points = 3 for each writing assignment submitted by the initial due date + an additional 4.5 for each writing assignments OK/RNR + 1.5 for each participation item fulfilled, up to a maximum of 80 points.

Overall points are converted to letter grades as follows: The minimum grade for A is 95 points, for A- is 87.5, for B+ is 80, for B is 72.5; for B- is 65; for C+ is 57.5; and for C is 50 points.

# **Schedule of Meetings**

Week	Meeting Dates (Wednesdays, 7:00-9:45pm ET)	Summary	
1	February 4	Course orientation, and Introduction to Action Research Cycles and Epicycles	
2	February 11	Continue Introduction to Action Research Cycles and Epicycles	
3	February 18	Strategic Personal Planning	
4	February 25	Examining the Background and Evaluations of Previous Actions	
5	March 4	Formulating Informative Comparisons as a Basis for Evaluations	
6	March 11	Initial Work-in-Progress Presentations	
	March 18: No meeting –	No new topics	
	Spring Break Week		
7	March 25	Formulating Comparisons for Evaluation (continued), and	
		Constituency-Building	
8	April 1	Reflection on Your Experience as Novice Action Researchers,	
		Part 1	
9	April 8	Reflection on Your Experience as Novice Action Researchers,	
		Part 2	
10	April 15	Updated Work-in-progress Presentations	
11	April 22	Influences of Political Context on Evaluation and Educational	
		Research	
12	April 29	Generating Politics from Below in Relation to Educational and	
		Action Research	
13	May 6	Taking Stock of the Course	

### **Reflective Practice Portfolio**

This only applies to students in the Critical and Creative Thinking MA Program: the Self-Assessment participation item, and/or selections from your Professional Development Workbook, are appropriate and recommended for inclusion in your MA program's Reflective Practice Portfolio. Other options might also be acceptable based on directions taken to fulfill your project.

### **Course Evaluation**

At the end of the course, you will be asked to complete an anonymous, online course evaluation (<a href="http://bit.ly/CCTEval">http://bit.ly/CCTEval</a>) as required by the Critical and Creative Thinking program. This evaluation is in addition to any other general evaluations requested of you by the university or College of Advancing and Professional Studies.

### **Accommodation Statement**

Sections 504 and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 offer guidelines for curriculum modifications and adaptations for students with documented disabilities. If applicable, students may obtain adaptation recommendations from the Ross Center (617-287-7430). The student must present these recommendations to each professor within a reasonable period, preferably by the end of the Drop/Add period.

### **Instructor Background and Experience**

Jeremy Szteiter is a graduate of Carnegie Mellon University (Cognitive Science) and University of Massachusetts Boston (Critical and Creative Thinking) and now serves as the Assistant Director of the graduate program in Critical and Creative Thinking at UMass Boston. His work has centered on teaching and program development, particularly in adult education settings within community-based human services organizations; this work has involved managing, developing, and teaching programs to lifelong learners and performing research around teaching practices, non-profit organizational development, and technology. Jeremy's work draws upon principles and practices of social change pedagogy, technology-enhanced collaboration, instructional design, and participatory theater.

## **Syllabus Version**

February 2015; This syllabus is subject to change and updated versions may be distributed after the course begins, but the workload expectations will not be increased after the semester starts.